

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		,	* -		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		• •	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
097120.49		798 YHRT		E	02473.0004

LM02/0305

EXAMINER

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT AND DUNNER

LE:A

- GARRETT AND DONNER - 1300 I STREET NW - WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

03/05/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

A plication, No. 09/120,452

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Amanda T. Le

Group Art Unit 2734

Paul Yurt et al

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/23/98						
☐ This action is FINAL .						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the					
Disposition of Claims						
X Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-20	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above, claim(s)						
Claim(s)						
Claim(s)						
المراجعة	are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
he proposed drawing correction, filed on						
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is Lapproved disapproved.					
he oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been						
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)						
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*Certified copies not received:						
$\ \square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892						
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).						
Interview Summary, PTO-413						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Potent Application, BTO 153						
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
	•					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	E FOLLOWING PAGES					

Application/Control Number: 09/120,452 Page 2

درس سرامسر

Art Unit: 2734

1. Claims 1-9, 12-20 are pending in this application.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 1-9, 12-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9, 12-17, 19-21 respectively of U.S. Patent No. 5,132,992. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed limitations that differ from that of the patented are either covered by the patented claims or would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement based on the teachings of the patented claims.
- 4. Claims 1 and 18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 or 14 and 2 or 5 respectively of U.S. Patent No.

Application/Control Number: 09/120,452 Page 3

Art Unit: 2734

5,253,275. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed limitations that differ from that of the patented are either covered by the patented claims or would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement based on the teachings of the patented claims.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,550,863. Although the conflicting claims

are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed limitations that

differ from that of the patented are either covered by the patented claims or would have been obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement based on the teachings of

the patented claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/120,452

Art Unit: 2734

7. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ballantyne et al.

Ballantyne et al's distribution method (Fig. 1B) teaches the following claimed steps: "storing audio and video information" (element 32, col. 3, lines 59-61); "requesting transmission" (element 34); "sending at least a portion" (26); "receiving the sent information" (Fig. 2, 36); "buffering the received information" (38, col. 5, line 14); "playing back the buffered information" (col. 5, lines 45-48).

Conclusion

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-6743, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda Le, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4769. The Examiner can

j

¥

normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M.. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached on (703)305-4714.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Amanda T. Le Primary Patent Examiner

March 3, 1999

GIPCIES OFFISS